Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

# 2-Trichloromethylthio-1*H*-isoindole-1,3(2*H*)-dione (Folpet)

# A. Björn Carle, Jeanette A. Krause Bauer\* and R. Marshall Wilson

Department of Chemistry, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221-0172, USA Correspondence e-mail: jeanette.krause@uc.edu

Received 2 August 1999 Accepted 13 October 1999

The title compound (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S), commonly known as Folpet, belongs to a group of phthalimides which function as fungicides or can be used in the laboratory as sulfurizing agents. The phthalimide moiety is slightly folded with a dihedral angle of  $3.5 (4)^{\circ}$ . The molecule participates in C– H···O and Cl···Cl intermolecular interactions.

# Comment

The title compound, (I), is a member of a class of N-(trichloromethylthio)phthalimides which function as useful fungicidal, insecticidal and germicidal agents (Hargreaves *et al.*, 1970). We were particularly interested in the utility of (I) as a convenient sulfurizing agent in the synthesis of dihydro-oxathiin complexes. For example, the reaction shown below



gives the synthetic route for the preparation of 2,2-bis-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydrophenanthro[9,10-*b*]-1,4-dioxathiine using (I) (Carle, 1997).

The structure of (I) (Fig. 1) resembles other functionalized phthalimides, namely *N*-trichloromethylthio-3a,4,7,7atetrahydrophthalimide (Captan; Moss & Jacobson, 1981), *N*-(2-nitrophenylthio)phthalimide (Iwasaki & Masuko, 1986) and *N*-tert-butyldithiophthalimide (Mazhar-ul-Haque &





Behforouz, 1979). The thiophthalimido group is slightly folded, with a dihedral angle of  $3.5 (4)^{\circ}$  between the N1/C2/C3/C8/C9 and C3–C8 planes, whereas nitrophenyl- and butyldithiophthalimide are more planar with corresponding angles of 1.4 and 1.5°, respectively. The S–N bond length [1.668 (5) Å] is shorter than similar bonds in the above related compounds [1.689 (3)–1.702 (2) Å], but is consistent with previously observed S–N single bonds (1.63–1.68 Å) exhibiting  $\pi$  character (Iwasaki & Masuko, 1986).

An intermolecular interaction of the C-H···O=C type (Fig. 2) is observed between the aromatic C atoms of the phthalimide moiety and the symmetry-related carbonyls [C4-H4···O1<sup>i</sup> 3.297 (7) Å and  $153^{\circ}$ ; C7-H7···O2<sup>ii</sup> 3.619 (8) Å and  $167^{\circ}$ ; symmetry codes: (i) -x, 1-y, 1-z; (ii) -x,  $y-\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}-z$ ]. The H···O distances of 2.44 and 2.71 Å, respectively, are in the range that some consider to be moderate to weak non-conventional hydrogen bonding (Taylor & Kennard, 1982; Steiner, 1996; Jeffrey, 1997). Similar interactions are observed for Captan (C···O 3.400–3.445 Å and 133–145°; Moss & Jacobson, 1981), N-(2-nitrophenylthio)phthalimide (C···O 3.32–3.33 Å and 152–155°; Iwasaki & Masuko, 1986)



**Figure 2** A packing diagram of (I) illustrating  $C \cdots O$  interactions in the *bc* plane.

and *N-tert*-butyldithiophthalimide ( $C \cdots O$  3.53 Å and 153°; Mazhar-ul-Haque & Behforouz, 1979).

There is one short intermolecular interaction of 3.350 (3) Å between neighboring Cl atoms which is less than the van der Waals distance (Cl radius = 1.75 Å; Bondi, 1964)). Additionally, one borderline Cl···Cl interaction is observed with a distance of 3.529 (2) Å, which is slightly longer than the van der Waals distance. The corresponding closest contact distance between Cl atoms in Captan is 3.556 Å (Moss & Jacobson, 1981). In Folpet, the nearest-neighbor contact between Cl and S atoms is Cl3···S of 3.675 (2) Å.

# **Experimental**

A heptane solution of trichloromethanesulfenyl chloride was added dropwise to a stirred dimethylformamide solution of phthalimide and triethylamine producing (I) (Wunderly, 1972). Crystals of (I) were obtained by slow evaporation from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K and was fully characterized by spectroscopic techniques. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.88–7.93 (*m*, 2H), 8.02–8.07 (*m*, 2H) p.p.m; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  99.11, 124.65, 131.30, 135.44, 165.77 p.p.m.; IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3948 (*m*), 3692–3750 (*w*), 3054 (*vs*), 2987 (*s*), 2681 (*m*), 2410 (*m*), 2306 (*s*), 1798 (*w*), 1752 (*s*), 1721 (*w*), 1422 (*s*), 1259 (*vs*), 1150 (*m*), 1026 (*w*), 896 (*s*), 763 (*vs*), 711 (*vs*) cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV/vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda$  239, 296 nm; HRMS (EI): *m/z* 295 (*M*<sup>+</sup>), 260, 241, 150, 130, 114, 104, 79, 70; exact mass for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S calculated 294.903, found 294.898; m.p. 448–449 K.

#### Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{9}H_{4}Cl_{3}NO_{2}S\\ M_{r}=296.54\\ \text{Monoclinic, }P2_{1}/c\\ a=9.7666\ (19)\ \text{\AA}\\ b=5.7576\ (12)\ \text{\AA}\\ c=19.754\ (3)\ \text{\AA}\\ \beta=94.905\ (14)^{\circ}\\ V=1106.8\ (4)\ \text{\AA}^{3}\\ Z=4 \end{array}$ 

### Data collection

Siemens P3 diffractometer  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  scans 2702 measured reflections 2554 independent reflections 1281 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.035$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.55^{\circ}$   $D_x = 1.780 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 7.5 - 15.0^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.996 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) KRod, colorless  $0.45 \times 0.30 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$ 

$h = 0 \rightarrow 12$
$k = 0 \rightarrow 7$
$l = -25 \rightarrow 25$
3 standard reflections
every 250 reflections
intensity decay: negligible

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.061$
$wR(F^2) = 0.157$
S = 1.100
2552 reflections
146 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0533P)^2 \\ &+ 1.2199P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.40 \ {\rm e}\ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.52 \ {\rm e}\ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction\ correction:\ SHELXTL} \\ {\rm Extinction\ coefficient:\ 0.022\ (2)} \end{split}$$

Data collection: P3–P4/PC (Siemens, 1989); cell refinement: P3– P4/PC; data reduction: XDISK (Siemens, 1989); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SX1096). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.

Carle, A. B. (1997). Masters thesis, University of Cincinnati, USA.

Hargreaves, M. K., Pritchard, J. G. & Dave, H. R. (1970). Chem. Rev. 70, 439–469.

- Iwasaki, F. & Masuko, Y. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 124-127.
- Jeffrey, G. A. (1997). An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding, pp. 85–97. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Mazhar-ul-Haque & Behforouz, M. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 845-848.
- Moss, L. E. & Jacobson, R. A. (1981). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 10, 1545–1548.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXTL. Program for Structure Determination. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1989). P3–P4/PC (Version 4.27) and XDISK (Version 4.27). Programs for Data Collection and Reduction. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Steiner, T. (1996). Crystallogr. Rev. 6, 1-57.

Taylor, R. & Kennard, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5063-5070.

Wunderly, S. (1972). Unpublished results.